## Empowering Inventory, Revision 5

## Jerome Taylor, PhD; Shirley Biggs, EdD; Lancelot Brown, PhD; & Michael Tillotson, PhD January 30, 2020

TRAINED AND CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COORDINATORS FOR JUSTICE AND FREEDOM ARE ABLE [Check Off]

| 1   | to identify policies and practices linked to Justice and Freedom   |  |
|-----|--|--|
| 2   | to access evidence supporting the policies and practices associated with justice and freedom   |  |
| 3   | to identify how policies and practices must be implemented to net expected effects.  |  |
| 4   | to identify how quality of implementation and level of impact are evaluated.   |  |
| 5   | to identify strategies that promote robust public support of identified policies and practices.  |  |
| 6   | to identify methods for supporting justice and freedom achieving policies and practices.   |  |
| 7   | to identify methods for challenging policies and practices that fail to produce justice and freedom.   |  |
| 8   | to identify six barriers that slow or undermine the march toward justice and freedom.  |  |
| 9   | to identify strategies found useful for overcoming identified barriers.  |  |
| 10  | to assemble information needed for presentations in twice-yearly conferences.  |  |
| 11  | to identify <b>Leadership Types (LT)</b> : local faith-based and justice-minded neighbors including young people.  |  |
| 12  | to introduce <b>A</b> cknowledging strategies to <b>LT</b> at an arranged time and place.  |  |
| 13  | to distribute forms for recruiting <i>Drum Majors for Justice</i> within each of the three <b>LT</b> .   |  |
| 14  | to coordinate an informational and teaming conference including all leaders and their recruits.  |  |
| 15  | to identify recruits who are willing to become <i>Drum Majors for Justice</i> by being trained and certified on items 1-9.   |  |
| 16  | to coordinate training and certification schedule with training center.  |  |
| 17  | to encourage the completion of training and certification within and across <b>LT</b> .  |  |
| 18  | to chair a <i>Neighborhood Council</i> where ALL leadership types will be trained and certified on (a) why this work is important and (b) how this work will be carried out (items 1-9).                     |  |
| 19  | to identify neighborhood organizations and institutions that are critical in achieving justice priorities identi-<br>fied by the neighborhood. We will refer to these as <b>Critical Institutions (CI)</b> . |  |
| 20  | to establish timeframes for designated teams from council to work with <b>CIs</b> to stimulate robust public support for a particular just outcome (see item 4).   |  |
| 21  | to examine for each <b>CI</b> identified by Council the justice potential of existing policies and practices for achieving just outcomes (with assistance from <b>CFE</b> where needed).                     |  |
| 22_ | to introduce each <b>CI</b> , if existing policies and practices fail to achieve just outcomes, to alternative policies and practices that do (with assistance from <b>CFE</b> where needed).                |  |
| 23  | to develop and implement plans for each <b>CI</b> that maximize just outcomes prioritized by the neighborhood .  |  |
| 24  | to develop with council ways and means of celebrating achievements of <b>CIs</b> and <i>Drum Majors</i> .  |  |
| 25  | to participate in twice yearly city-wide conferences on successes, failures, and challenges to bringing jus-<br>tice and freedom to participating neighborhoods.   |  |
|     | E = Sum of checked items 1-25 = E% = (Sum/25) x 100 =, where o>E%<100  |  |

## COMPARING EMPOWERING STRATEGIES PAST AND PRESENT

| What We Found   | What We Propose  | Items from<br><u>E</u> mpowering<br>Inventory |
|---|--|---|
| In our review of social movements at every level<br>over the last 50 years, we found a recurring<br>problem: unpersuasive theoretical or empirical<br>reason to believe recommended policies and<br>practices would actually accelerate movement<br>toward justice (equity) and freedom (fair access<br>to the nation's fountains of opportunity).        | Each policy and practice is theoretically and<br>empirically grounded—based on prior de-<br>velopment and research in multiple set-<br>tings.  | 1, 2  |
| Because the unfolding history of many social<br>movements has been insufficiently docu-<br>mented, it was difficult to evaluate confidently<br>linkages between quality of implementation<br>and level of intervention impact.  | Documentation of implementation quality<br>of each theoretically and empirically<br>grounded policies is a formal requirement.<br>Methods used in evaluating implementa-<br>tion quality are shared to alert certificants<br>of what they should look for in any pro-<br>posed policy or practice.                         | 3, 4  |
| Aims of movements are sometimes so intrinsi-<br>cally important or attractive that there is corre-<br>sponding failure by their leaders to seek ways<br>and means of refreshing the appeal to justice<br>among active members and the general public.<br>Without this continual 'refreshing', movements<br>become less attractive and vigorous over time. | Offer ways and means, based on historical<br>and empirical research, for stimulating and<br>maintaining a robust appetite for justice in<br>the general public and among movement<br>participants. Success of these methods are<br>supported by results of experimental and<br>field research.                             | 5, 6  |
| Often social movements focus more on institu-<br>tional origins of injustice than they do on poli-<br>cies and practices that mitigate and undo harm-<br>ful effects of institutional policies and practices.   | Train and certify <i>Drum Majors for Justice</i> not<br>only on how to identify institutional origins<br>of injustice but also on how to implement<br>policies and practices that accelerate the<br>delivery of justice and freedom.   | 6-9   |
| Empowerment movements typically failed to<br>motivate <i>and</i> equip grass-root leadership with<br>knowledge of policies and practices that nor-<br>malize justice and freedom in places where they<br>live.  | Plan both motivates <i>and</i> equips local citi-<br>zens and leaders most affected by injustice<br>to take the lead in bringing justice to neigh-<br>borhoods and communities where they live<br>and work.  | 11-17   |
| As we looked across social movements locally,<br>nationally, and internationally, few sought to<br>establish through training and certification a<br>grass-roots organizational structure capable of<br>achieving and maintaining justice and freedom.  | Provide a grass-roots governance structure<br>with working agenda and timeframes for<br>accelerating the achievement and mainte-<br>nance of justice and freedom in participat-<br>ing neighborhoods and communities.  | 18-24   |
| We commend social movements that created<br>learning communities which shared experiences<br>ongoingly with its members. These learning<br>communities we regard as a positive develop-<br>ment that we will honor and extend in our work<br>with <i>Drum Majors for Justice and Freedom</i> .  | Sponsor two annual city-wide conferences<br>to review successes and challenges across<br>participating neighborhoods which provide<br>extended opportunities for (a) fostering on-<br>going opportunities to learn from one an-<br>other and (b) strengthening bonds among<br><i>Drum Majors for Justice and Freedom</i> . | 10, 25  |